


Terbit online pada laman web jurnal : <http://e-journal.sastra-unes.com/index.php/JILP>

 Fakultas Sastra Universitas Ekasakti	JURNAL JILP (Jurnal Ilmiah Languge and Parole) Volume 8 Nomor 2	
	ISSN : 2581-0804 (Media Cetak)	E-ISSN : 2581-1819 (Media Online)
Received: 25-05-2025	Revised: 10-06-2025	Available online: 21-06-2025

Language Change in the Communication of Generation Z

Yessy Marzona

Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu pendidikan, Universitas Ekasakti,
yessy.marzona@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author:

Yessy Marzona

Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu pendidikan, Universitas Ekasakti,
yessy.marzona@gmail.com

Abstract

This study explores the phenomenon of language change in the communication patterns of Generation Z, a demographic cohort born between the mid-1990s and early 2010s, known for their deep integration with digital technology and social media. The research investigates how linguistic shifts—such as the emergence of new slang, abbreviations, code-switching, and emotive expressions—reflect the values, identity, and communication preferences of this generation. Utilizing a qualitative-descriptive approach, the data was collected through observations of online conversations, social media interactions, and informal interviews with Gen Z participants. The findings reveal that language change among Gen Z is significantly influenced by digital platforms such as TikTok, Instagram, and messaging apps, where brevity, creativity, and speed of communication are prioritized. Additionally, the blending of English with native languages, frequent use of memes, emojis, and internet-born expressions are indicative of their unique linguistic landscape. These changes not only reflect evolving communication norms but also challenge traditional views of grammar, vocabulary, and language usage. The study highlights the need for educators and linguists to acknowledge and adapt to these changes in order to remain relevant and effective in language teaching and sociolinguistic analysis

Keywords: Language Change, Generation Z, Digital Communication, Sociolinguistics, Code-Switching

© 2025Jurnal JILP

I INTRODUCTION

Language is a dynamic and evolving system of symbols that reflects the ever-changing nature of human society. Throughout history, language change has been a natural and inevitable process influenced by a variety of social, cultural, political, and technological factors. As society transforms, so does the way individuals communicate, introducing new linguistic forms while abandoning others.

In contemporary times, one of the most striking examples of linguistic evolution can be observed in **Generation Z**, commonly defined as those born between the mid-1990s and early 2010s. This generation has grown up surrounded by the rapid advancement of digital technology, social media, and mobile communication platforms. Unlike previous generations, Generation Z has been immersed in a world where the internet is a fundamental part of daily life, shaping their interactions, values, habits, and, notably, their language.

The communication style of Generation Z is characterized by brevity, creativity, and a high level of adaptability. Social media platforms such as TikTok, Instagram, X (formerly Twitter), and WhatsApp not only facilitate interaction but also shape the linguistic environment in which Gen Z communicates. Language changes within this group are visible through the use of slang, abbreviations, clipped words, code-switching, meme-based expressions, and symbolic communication using emojis and GIFs. For example, words like “*cringe*,” “*vibe*,” “*gabut*,” and “*healing*” have taken on new, culturally specific meanings in Gen Z discourse—often combining elements of English and native languages such as Bahasa Indonesia.

Moreover, Generation Z frequently blends two or more languages in a single utterance (a phenomenon known as code-mixing and code-switching). An everyday example would be: “*I’m so gak mood today, literally capek banget.*” This sentence merges Indonesian with English in a way that creates meaning unique to the group, forming a distinct identity and communication style.

These patterns raise important linguistic, educational, and cultural questions. Is this a natural linguistic evolution or a decline in language standards? How does this affect the

formal and academic language proficiency of younger generations? What role does media play in accelerating these changes? And more importantly, how should educators and linguists respond to such developments?

These questions are essential to address, especially in a global context where communication norms are rapidly changing. Understanding language change in Generation Z is not merely an academic pursuit but a necessary step in adapting language education and preserving linguistic heritage in the digital era.

Based on the background outlined above, the core problems addressed in this study are as follows:

1. What are the main characteristics of language change in Generation Z’s daily communication?
2. What factors influence the linguistic shifts occurring within Generation Z?
3. How do social media platforms contribute to language change among Generation Z users?
4. What are the implications of these language changes for formal and academic language usage?

To explore these issues, this study adopts a qualitative-descriptive approach, collecting and analyzing linguistic data from various Generation Z communication channels, primarily on digital platforms. Data collection methods include observation of social media interactions (comments, captions, messages), compilation of language use examples, and semi-structured interviews with Gen Z participants across different social backgrounds.

The study employs a sociolinguistic framework, which views language as both a system and a social practice. This framework allows for the analysis of how language reflects identity, power relations, and cultural shifts. In addition, theories of language change and digital communication will support the interpretation of findings. Concepts such as lexical innovation, informal register, discourse communities, and digital semiotics will be utilized to unpack the mechanisms of linguistic evolution in Generation Z.

The ultimate goal is to develop a comprehensive understanding of the nature and drivers of language change in this demographic, as well as the broader implications for language

education, communication theory, and cultural studies.

The topic of language change has been extensively studied in traditional sociolinguistics. Pioneers such as William Labov (1966) laid the foundation for understanding how linguistic variations emerge and spread within speech communities. More recent scholars like David Crystal (2001) and Naomi Baron (2008) have investigated how internet and mobile technologies have altered language use, giving rise to phenomena such as netspeak, digital abbreviations, and emoticon-based communication.

In the Indonesian context, studies have focused primarily on youth slang and localized language trends. However, much of this research remains surface-level and descriptive, concentrating mainly on lexical analysis. Additionally, while some studies have examined the impact of social media on language, few have systematically analyzed the **intersection of Generation Z, digital culture, and language change** using a sociolinguistic approach.

This study offers several distinct contributions:

1. **Focus on Generation Z as the primary subject of linguistic analysis:** While

some existing studies touch on youth language, this research narrows the focus to Gen Z, whose digital immersion significantly differentiates their language behavior from previous generations.

2. **Integration of digital communication platforms in linguistic data analysis:** The study uses real-life data from social media conversations, offering an authentic and updated picture of how language is used by Gen Z in natural digital environments.

3. **Interdisciplinary perspective:** By combining sociolinguistics with digital media studies and language education, this research provides a broader analytical lens that can bridge gaps between theory and practice.

4. **Pedagogical and policy implications:** The findings aim to inform educators, curriculum developers, and policymakers about the necessity of adapting language teaching strategies to align with the linguistic realities of today's youth.

Cultural and identity analysis: The research also examines how language use among Generation Z contributes to the construction of group identity, self-expression, and community belonging in a globalized yet locally rooted society.

II RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a **qualitative-descriptive research design**, which is appropriate for exploring complex, context-bound linguistic phenomena such as language change within a specific social group. Qualitative research enables the researcher to gain in-depth understanding of how language is used, interpreted, and transformed in everyday communication among Generation Z. The descriptive approach focuses on observing and describing natural language use without manipulating the context.

Since the goal of this research is to identify and analyze the forms, patterns, and drivers of language change among Generation Z, the study prioritizes authenticity of data, subjective interpretation, and sociocultural context. This design also allows for the inclusion of various data sources, such as social media

content, informal conversations, and personal interviews, to enrich the analysis.

2. Research Subjects and Sampling Technique

The subjects of this research are members of **Generation Z**, particularly individuals aged **15 to 25 years**, who actively use digital communication platforms such as TikTok, Instagram, WhatsApp, Twitter (X), and other social media or messaging applications. These participants are selected from diverse educational and social backgrounds to reflect the variety of language use within the generation.

A **purposive sampling technique** is used to choose respondents who meet specific criteria:

- Belong to the Gen Z age range (born between approximately 1995 and 2010)
- Active in digital communication (posting content, chatting, commenting)

- Willing to participate in informal interviews or share samples of their communication

A total of **20 participants** are selected for in-depth observation and interviews. Additionally, **publicly accessible online content** (such as social media posts, comment threads, and trending language on TikTok or Twitter) is included as supplemental data.

3. Data Collection Methods

To ensure a rich and valid data set, the following data collection techniques are employed:

a. Observation of Digital Communication

This involves observing and documenting language use in real-life digital contexts. The researcher monitors social media platforms used by Generation Z to collect samples of language that reflect current linguistic trends. This includes:

- Social media posts and captions
- Comment threads
- Direct messages (with participant consent)
- Slang used in memes or viral content

The data is gathered over a span of **three months**, ensuring that temporal trends and viral linguistic patterns are captured.

b. Semi-Structured Interviews

To gain deeper insights into the motivations, attitudes, and awareness behind linguistic choices, **semi-structured interviews** are conducted with selected participants. These interviews cover questions such as:

- How do you decide what words or expressions to use online?
- Do you switch languages depending on the context or audience?
- What do certain slang terms or expressions mean to you?
- Are you aware when you're mixing languages (code-mixing)?
- How do you perceive formal vs. informal language?

Interviews are conducted online or in-person, recorded (with consent), and later transcribed for analysis.

c. Textual and Discourse Analysis

Screenshots or written records of informal digital conversations (e.g., from WhatsApp or

Instagram chats) are also collected—again, with full participant consent and anonymization. These samples provide concrete examples of linguistic innovation and help identify patterns such as lexical change, code-switching, and emoji use.

4. Data Analysis Techniques

The data is analyzed using **sociolinguistic discourse analysis**, focusing on both micro-level (word, phrase, sentence) and macro-level (cultural, contextual) features.

Key steps in the data analysis process include:

a. Data Reduction

Data from observations, interviews, and written texts are selected and reduced based on relevance. Only data that illustrate linguistic change, code-switching, slang, or creative forms of digital expression are included.

b. Data Categorization

The data is categorized into several thematic areas:

- Lexical innovation (new words, slang, abbreviations)
- Code-switching and code-mixing (e.g., between English and Indonesian)
- Visual language use (emojis, memes, GIFs)
- Discourse patterns (tone, style, intention)

c. Interpretation and Contextual Analysis

Each linguistic feature is analyzed in relation to its social function and context. For instance, the use of “healing” in an Indonesian context may differ significantly from its traditional English meaning. Such expressions are interpreted based on how they reflect identity, community norms, or emotional expression.

This step also includes identifying **patterns of usage**, such as frequent mixing of English with local language in emotional expressions (e.g., “*I’m tired banget*”) or the dominance of certain slang on specific platforms (e.g., “cringe” culture on TikTok).

d. Thematic Mapping

Emerging themes are mapped to broader theories of **language change**, **digital communication**, and **youth identity**. This step ensures that the data is not only described but also critically analyzed in a theoretical framework.

5. Validity and Reliability

To ensure credibility and trustworthiness, several strategies are implemented:

- **Triangulation:** Data is cross-checked from multiple sources—observation, interviews, and text samples.

- **Member Checking:** Participants are given access to transcripts or summaries of their interviews to validate the accuracy of interpretation.

- **Peer Debriefing:** Colleagues or supervisors review parts of the data analysis to avoid researcher bias.

- **Audit Trail:** All data collection, coding, and interpretation processes are documented in a research log.

6. Ethical Considerations

Given the involvement of human subjects and potentially sensitive digital content, this study follows strict ethical guidelines:

- **Informed Consent:** All participants provide written or verbal consent after being informed about the research purpose and how their data will be used.

- **Confidentiality:** Real names, usernames, and identifying details are anonymized.

- **Voluntary Participation:** Participants may withdraw from the study at any time without consequences.

- **Data Protection:** All digital data is stored securely and used solely for academic purposes.

7. Limitations of the Study

While the research design is robust, several limitations are acknowledged:

- **Subjectivity of interpretation:** As with all qualitative studies, analysis is influenced by the researcher's interpretation, though mitigated by validation techniques.

- **Rapid linguistic evolution:** Because language trends change quickly, some findings may become outdated as new slang emerges.

- **Platform-specific language:** Certain linguistic behaviors may be unique to particular apps or regions and not generalizable to all Gen Z users.

III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Overview of Language Use in Generation Z

From the data collected through social media observation, interviews, and chat documentation, it is evident that Generation Z exhibits a highly dynamic and innovative use of language. Their communication is characterized by a fluid mix of informal slang, code-switching between English and Indonesian (or other local languages), creative spelling, and the heavy use of digital symbols such as emojis, GIFs, and memes.

Several unique patterns emerged during the analysis:

- Frequent use of slang words, both globally recognized (e.g., *vibe*, *cringe*, *simp*, *lit*) and local (e.g., *gabut*, *bucin*, *healing*, *gaskeun*)

- Creative adaptations of existing words (e.g., *ngabers* derived from “bang” in reverse)

- Clipped and abbreviated forms (e.g., *BTW*, *OOTD*, *LOL*)

- Code-switching and code-mixing across languages, especially between Indonesian and English

- Emoji and meme-based expressions replacing entire sentences or emotional statements

These results confirm that Generation Z is not only passively adopting changes in language but actively driving them, influenced by their interactions in digital spaces.

2. Lexical Innovation: Slang and Neologisms

One of the most visible forms of language change in Generation Z communication is **lexical innovation**—the creation and usage of new words or repurposing of existing ones.

Examples from the data:

- *Gabut* – means having nothing to do or being unproductive.

- *Healing* – originally “recovery” in English, but used to describe leisure travel or emotional refreshment.

- *Cringe* – used similarly in English, but adopted as slang for socially awkward or embarrassing behavior.

• *Gaskeun* – derived from the word "gas" (go ahead), meaning to proceed or act quickly.

These terms spread rapidly through TikTok videos, memes, and influencer content. Their meanings are often shaped by context, and although they are not officially recognized in formal dictionaries, they are widely understood among peers.

Such neologisms showcase Gen Z's creativity and linguistic adaptability. They often use these words to signal in-group belonging, express emotion efficiently, or reflect cultural attitudes such as irony or humor.

3. Code-Switching and Code-Mixing: Bilingual Expression

Another dominant feature found in the data is **code-switching**, where speakers switch between two or more languages in a single conversation or sentence. In the Indonesian context, this frequently involves alternating between Bahasa Indonesia and English.

Examples:

• "Mood aku lagi down banget, I just wanna sleep all day."

• "Dia tuh literally toxic, tapi aku sayang banget."

Such bilingual expressions are not always based on necessity or linguistic gaps but are often stylistic choices. English terms are sometimes perceived as more expressive, trendier, or emotionally accurate. Gen Z uses them for emphasis, humor, or to construct a globalized, modern identity.

This linguistic behavior reflects a sociolinguistic shift where bilingualism is not only functional but also performative—used to create style, assert identity, and align with global youth culture.

4. Visual Language: Emojis, Memes, and GIFs

In addition to textual language, **visual language** plays a central role in Gen Z communication. Emojis, reaction GIFs, and meme images are often used not just to accompany text but to **replace** or enhance meaning.

Examples:

• A single emoji like 🤔 can convey laughter, frustration, or even sarcasm depending on context.

• Memes are used as shorthand responses, such as SpongeBob images to express laziness or indifference.

• Short videos or reaction images replace complex emotional responses in chats.

This evolution represents a form of **semiotic change**—language expanding beyond words to include shared digital visual codes. These forms are often multimodal (combining image, text, and emotion), and their meaning is highly context-dependent.

Gen Z demonstrates a high level of **digital literacy**, understanding and deploying these visual symbols with nuance. This form of communication aligns with their preference for brevity, immediacy, and emotional efficiency.

5. Motivation and Social Meaning

Based on interview responses, participants indicated several **motivations** behind their language practices:

• **To sound relatable or cool** among peers.

• **To keep up with trends** on social media.

• **To express emotions more vividly** or with less formality.

• **To create intimacy** or insider connection with friends.

• **To align with global youth culture**, especially English-speaking media.

Most participants were **aware** of their linguistic choices and understood that their way of speaking was informal and not suitable for academic or professional contexts. However, they valued it as a vital part of their social identity.

This supports theories of **linguistic accommodation** and **communicative competence**, suggesting that Gen Z skillfully navigates different registers depending on audience and purpose.

6. Implications for Language Norms and Education

The findings suggest that language change among Generation Z presents both challenges and opportunities for educators and linguists.

Challenges:

• A widening gap between formal academic language and informal digital language.

- Potential overreliance on English borrowings, affecting native vocabulary development.

- Reduced familiarity with traditional grammar or orthographic conventions.

Opportunities:

- Digital language practices can be leveraged in teaching to increase engagement.

- Multilingual and multimodal communication reflects high communicative competence.

- Students' creativity in language can be channeled through media-based assignments or linguistic projects.

Rather than viewing Gen Z's language use as "degradation," educators should see it as **evolution**. Teachers can incorporate discussions about slang, code-switching, or emoji use to bridge the gap between students' real-world communication and formal language instruction.

7. Discussion: Sociolinguistic Implications

From a sociolinguistic perspective, the linguistic behavior of Generation Z reflects **identity construction, peer-group affiliation, and adaptation to media ecologies**. Their language is shaped not just by linguistic rules but also by platforms—each app encourages a particular style of discourse.

For instance:

- TikTok favors short, trendy expressions and audio-based memes.

- Twitter encourages sharp, witty, often ironic commentary.

- WhatsApp or Telegram allows intimate, emotion-rich chat patterns.

This platform-specific variation supports the idea that **language is contextually bound**, and digital tools play an active role in shaping how language evolves.

Moreover, this phenomenon aligns with David Crystal's concept of "**language in transition**"—where change is not linear but constantly negotiated between tradition and innovation.

Language change in Generation Z communication is not a mere byproduct of informality or laziness, but rather a complex linguistic phenomenon rooted in creativity, cultural shifts, and digital interactivity. Their use of slang, code-switching, and visual language reflects both global influence and local adaptation.

These findings challenge conventional perceptions of linguistic correctness and emphasize the need for a more inclusive understanding of language practices in the digital age. The education system, instead of resisting these changes, must find ways to **integrate** them into teaching strategies, curriculum design, and language policy development.

IV CONCLUSION

This study has explored the dynamic and evolving nature of language use among Generation Z, with a particular focus on how digital communication platforms influence linguistic behavior. Through a qualitative-descriptive approach involving observation, interviews, and discourse analysis, the research has revealed several key findings.

Firstly, **language change among Generation Z is highly visible** in their day-to-day communication. This includes the use of innovative slang, the creative recontextualization of borrowed words, the habitual mixing of languages (particularly English and Indonesian), and the integration of visual elements such as emojis and memes. These practices reflect not only linguistic trends but also deeper

sociocultural patterns, including identity expression, peer bonding, and digital adaptation.

Secondly, the study finds that **platforms play a central role** in shaping language use. Different platforms (TikTok, Twitter, WhatsApp, Instagram) encourage different linguistic styles, indicating that language is shaped not only by age or culture but also by digital environment. Communication is increasingly multimodal, fast-paced, and emotionally charged.

Thirdly, **Generation Z displays high communicative competence**—not in traditional grammar alone, but in their ability to navigate multiple registers, languages, and modes of expression. They are aware of the informal nature of their digital language and can adjust

their style when needed for formal or academic contexts.

Lastly, the study emphasizes that **language change should not be seen as linguistic decline**, but as a natural evolution responding to technological, cultural, and generational shifts. This understanding has critical implications for educators, linguists, and language policy-makers who must bridge the gap between traditional language norms and contemporary digital realities.

Implications and Suggestions for Future Research

1. Implications for Language Education

- **Curriculum Adaptation:** Language curricula, especially in secondary and tertiary education, must recognize the real-world linguistic practices of students. Incorporating discussions about slang, social media language, and code-switching can make learning more relevant and engaging.

- **Digital Literacy in Language Teaching:** Educators should foster digital literacy alongside traditional language skills. Understanding memes, emoji use, or multimodal expression can be useful tools in enhancing students' analytical and communicative competence.

- **Teacher Training:** Teachers need to be equipped with knowledge about current linguistic trends among youth to better relate to their students and address language change critically and constructively.

2. Implications for Linguistic Theory

- **Revisiting Language Norms:** Linguists must reconsider fixed notions of "correct" language use, particularly when evaluating youth language. The generational shift suggests a need for more fluid models of grammar, register, and stylistics.

- **Multimodal Linguistics:** Traditional linguistic models focused on text and speech may not be sufficient in explaining how Generation Z

communicates. Future models must account for **non-verbal digital symbols** (emojis, GIFs, memes) and **platform-specific discourse forms**.

3. Suggestions for Future Research

While this study provides a solid foundation, further research is needed to deepen and expand understanding of language change in Generation Z:

- **Comparative Studies Across Regions or Countries:** How do Gen Z language trends differ between urban and rural areas, or between cultures? Do global platforms promote homogenization or localization of slang?

- **Quantitative Linguistic Studies:** A larger-scale, data-driven analysis using social media corpora could provide insights into frequency patterns, semantic shifts, or syntax changes in Gen Z language use.

- **Longitudinal Research:** Studying how Gen Z's language evolves over time—as they transition from school to university and into the workforce—could offer valuable data about the durability and transformation of digital language trends.

- **Platform-Specific Linguistic Behavior:** Investigating how language use differs across platforms (e.g., TikTok vs. WhatsApp) could lead to a deeper understanding of how interface design shapes communication.

- **Psycholinguistic Approaches:** Exploring how Gen Z processes multimodal communication cognitively could bridge gaps between sociolinguistics and language acquisition studies.

In conclusion, Generation Z is redefining the boundaries of language in the digital age. Their communication styles, though unconventional by traditional standards, demonstrate rich linguistic creativity, adaptability, and cultural awareness. Rather than resisting these shifts, the academic and educational community should engage with them, embracing change as an opportunity to rethink language in a connected, digital world.

Bibliography

- [1] Alwasilah, A. C. (2005). *Sosiologi bahasa*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- [2] Baron, N. S. (2008). *Always on: Language in an online and mobile world*. Oxford University Press.
- [3] Crystal, D. (2001). *Language and the Internet*. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Crystal, D. (2003). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the English language* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Danesi, M. (2016). *The Semiotics of Emoji: The Rise of Visual Language in the Age of the Internet*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- [6] Dewi, L. S. (2020). Perubahan bahasa pada generasi Z di media sosial: Kajian sosiolinguistik. *Jurnal Ilmiah Bahasa dan Sastra*, 8(2), 145–153. <https://doi.org/10.31294/jbs.v8i2.10345>
- [7] Eisenlauer, V., & Hoffmann, C. R. (2010). Once upon a blog... Storytelling in weblogs. *Language and Computers*, 71(1), 79–106. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789401206734_006
- [8] Herring, S. C. (2013). Discourse in Web 2.0: Familiar, reconfigured, and emergent. In D. Tannen & A. M. Trester (Eds.), *Discourse 2.0: Language and new media* (pp. 1–25). Georgetown University Press.
- [9] Labov, W. (1994). *Principles of Linguistic Change, Volume 1: Internal Factors*. Blackwell.
- [10] Labov, W. (2001). *Principles of Linguistic Change, Volume 2: Social Factors*. Blackwell.
- [11] McCulloch, G. (2019). *Because Internet: Understanding the new rules of language*. Riverhead Books.
- [12] Sari, M. I. (2022). Perubahan leksikal dalam bahasa generasi Z di platform TikTok. *Jurnal Linguistik Terapan*, 2(1), 58–70. <https://doi.org/10.25077/jlt.2.1.2022.58-70>
- [13] Tagliamonte, S. A. (2016). *Teen talk: The language of adolescents*. Cambridge University Press.
- [14] Wardhaugh, R., & Fuller, J. M. (2015). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics* (7th ed.). Wiley Blackwell.
- [15] Yus, F. (2011). *Cyberpragmatics: Internet-mediated communication in context*. John Benjamins Publishing.