Fakultas Sastra Universitas Ekasakti	JURNAL JILP **【**Jurnal Ilmiah Langue and Parole**】** Volume 7 Nomor 1	
	ISSN : 2581-0804 (Media Cetak)	**E-ISSN : 2581-1819 (Media Online)**
Received: 20-10-2023	Revised: 15-11-2023	Available online: 14-12-2023

Philosophy of Education and Pedagogy: The Art Of Enriching Interactions In Education

¹Dewirahmadanirwati, *²M. Zaim
¹Langugae Pedagogy, Language and Arts, Padang State University, dewirahma@student.unp.ac.id
*²Langugae Pedagogy, Language and Arts, Padang State University, mzaim@fbs.unp.ac.id

Corresponding Author
M. Zaim
Langugae Pedagogy, Language and Arts, Padang State University, mzaim@fbs.unp.ac.id

Abstract

This article aims to examine the role and purpose of the philosophy of education while identifying the unique dynamics of its association with educational practice and pedagogical science. Within the realm of education, philosophy of education and pedagogy explore distinct facets of the same subject matter. Rather than acting as competitors, these disciplines, with diverse research functions, possess the potential for mutual complementarity and reciprocal enhancement of their cognitive capacities. The outcomes reveal that as a branch of applied philosophy, philosophy of education does not serve as a direct tool for influencing educational practice. Instead, its primary purpose lies in facilitating comprehension and understanding of crucial issues in the theory and practice of education. In this relationship, pedagogy acts as a mediator, playing a crucial role. In conclusion, the most productive form of the relationship between the philosophy of education and pedagogical science is one of interaction. This interaction fosters mutual enrichment, strengthens their research capabilities, and allows for the fullest realization of their distinct functions. Pedagogy, in particular, has the flexibility to choose from philosophical and educational concepts to develop theories, which are validated through experimentation and directly applied in practice. Philosophy of education, meanwhile, realizes its theoretical functions across all stages of educational activity.

Keywords: Philosophy of Education, Educational Practice, Pedagogy, Interaction, Functions of Philosophy of Education

I INTRODUCTION

The philosophy of education is a branch of philosophy that explores fundamental questions concerning education, teaching, and learning. It involves examining the nature, purpose, and significance of education, as well as the principles and ideals that guide educational practices. Philosophers of education seek to understand the underlying concepts and values that shape educational theories and systems [1]. Key topics within the philosophy of education include the nature of knowledge, the role of the teacher, the aims of education, and the relationship between education and societal values. This field of study reflects on the fundamental principles that should guide educational policies and practices to promote meaningful and effective learning experiences [2].

The philosophy of education has undergone significant developments over different time periods and regions, shaping its recognition and influence. In the mid-twentieth century, notably in the United States, the philosophy of education was officially acknowledged as a separate field of study and was institutionalized within the educational framework. Moving to the late 80s and early 90s, a surge of interest in the philosophy of education occurred in the Post-Soviet space, including Ukraine [3]. During this period, there was an exploration of philosophical and pedagogical issues, accompanied by attempts to establish the philosophy of education as an institutionalized discipline. The influence of Western traditions became evident as researchers in post-Soviet countries were inspired by external practices [4].

In Indonesia, the philosophy of education plays a significant role in shaping various aspects of the educational landscape. Educators in the country often incorporate philosophical principles into the design of curricula, teaching methods, and educational policies [5], [6]. Recognizing the philosophy of education as a distinct field of study provides a framework for educators to integrate foundational principles, guiding the development of learning materials and approaches that align with the philosophical underpinnings.

Efforts to institutionalize the philosophy of education are also evident in Indonesia, reflecting a trend observed during the late 80s and early 90s in the Post-Soviet space [7]. Ongoing attempts to establish the philosophy of education as a recognized and institutionalized discipline influence the formal incorporation of educational principles into the system. This institutionalization contributes to a more structured and intentional approach to integrating philosophical ideals into the broader educational framework.

The exploration of philosophical and pedagogical issues in Indonesia is a dynamic process involving discussions and research aimed at understanding the underlying principles guiding education. Educators and researchers engage in conversations to explore how philosophical concepts can inform teaching practices and educational decision-making. Additionally, the influence of Western traditions on Indonesian educators is notable, with scholars looking to external practices for inspiration and adapting elements that align with the philosophical foundations considered valuable for enhancing educational practices [8], [9]. This global exchange contributes to the diversity of the educational landscape in Indonesia, where different schools, regions, and institutions interpret and apply educational philosophy in ways that reflect local values and priorities. However, it's crucial to recognize that the specific impact and examples necessitate a more in-depth analysis, considering factors such as government policies, cultural influences, and regional variations in shaping how the philosophy of education manifests in actual educational practices in Indonesia.

Transitioning to the 1990s, particularly in Ukraine and other Post-Soviet countries, publications addressing problem statements and specific aspects of the philosophy of education began to emerge. Philosophers started to delve into issues traditionally within the purview of educational scholars, producing noteworthy works such as Aleksander Rostovtsev's examination of general education content and Sergey Grigoriev's philosophical analysis of the learning process [10]. However, the development of the philosophy of education faced complications due to the well-established discipline of pedagogy, leading to a convergence of philosophers and pedagogical theorists. This

convergence resulted in contradictions and a mutual "invasion" into each other's subject fields, sparking debates on the role of philosophy of education in comparison to pedagogy.

In the context of education and pedagogy in Indonesia, the developments observed in Ukraine and other post-Soviet countries during the 1990s offer insights into potential challenges and dynamics. In Indonesia's educational landscape, similar publications addressing problem statements and specific aspects of the philosophy of education may arise, reflecting a growing interest in understanding the foundational principles guiding educational practices [11]. Philosophers in Indonesia could delve into issues traditionally within the domain of educational scholars, producing works that scrutinize general education content and engage in philosophical analyses of the learning process.

However, the potential for complications in the development of the philosophy of education, akin to the challenges faced in post-Soviet countries, should be acknowledged. The well-established discipline of pedagogy in Indonesia may present a parallel situation, leading to a convergence of philosophers and pedagogical theorists. This convergence could result in mutual interactions, with philosophers and pedagogues influencing each other's areas of expertise. Such interactions might spark debates and discussions on the respective roles of philosophy of education and pedagogy within the Indonesian education system [12], [13], [14].

The challenges faced by philosophers and pedagogues in Indonesia could echo those experienced in post-Soviet countries, leading to questions about the distinctive contributions of each discipline and how they can collaboratively enhance educational practices. The debates on the role of philosophy of education compared to pedagogy may prompt educators, policymakers, and researchers in Indonesia to critically examine the interplay between these two fields. This exploration could influence the integration of philosophical principles into pedagogical approaches, fostering a more comprehensive and enriched educational system in Indonesia.

Amidst these debates, there were calls for collaboration between philosophers and pedagogues to create a coherent philosophy of education. The exploration extended to understanding the dynamic relationship between philosophy of education, educational practice, and pedagogical science [15], [16], [17], [18]. Importantly, this period recognized the potential for mutual enrichment and cooperation between the philosophy of education and pedagogy, emphasizing their distinctive contributions to the field of education. This introduction sets the stage for an in-depth exploration of the evolving landscape of the philosophy of education and its intersections with educational practice and pedagogical science.

Finally, this article explores why the philosophy of education exists and how it works with teaching methods and educational science. It examines various aspects of education to understand their roles and purposes. In the world of education, the philosophy of education and teaching methods each focuses on different aspects of the same thing. The goal is to make these complex ideas easier to understand by showing how the philosophy of education and teaching methods can work together to improve education for everyone.

II RESEARCH METHODS

The research methodology employed in this article involves an in-depth examination of the philosophy of education and its relationship with educational practice and pedagogical science. The study employs qualitative research methods to explore the distinct facets of these disciplines within the realm of education [19]. Rather than treating philosophy of education and pedagogy as competitors, the research adopts a collaborative approach, recognizing their potential for mutual complementarity. The research functions include comprehension and understanding of crucial issues in the theory and practice of education. The role of pedagogy as a mediator is analyzed, emphasizing its crucial function in the relationship. The research concludes that the most productive form of the relationship between the philosophy of education

and pedagogical science is one of interaction, contributing to mutual enrichment and strengthening of their research capabilities.

Specifically, the research highlights the flexibility of pedagogy in choosing philosophical and educational concepts for theory development, which is validated through experimentation and practical application. The philosophy of education, on the other hand, is observed to realize its theoretical functions across all stages of educational activity [20]. The study is underpinned by a qualitative research paradigm, emphasizing the exploration, and understanding of the interconnected dynamics between philosophy of education and pedagogy within the educational context.

III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The philosophy of education is a kind of applied philosophy, but it does not directly change how teacher teach. Instead, it helps educators deeply understand the big challenges in education theory and practice. It is like a reflective tool that looks into the main ideas shaping education. Another field, pedagogy, takes on the role of a mediator. It connects the philosophical ideas to real teaching methods. Pedagogy is seen as a separate science of education, and it turns the understanding from philosophy of education into actual strategies and practices we use in teaching.

The Role and Purpose of Philosophy in Indonesia Education

Positioned as an applied philosophy, it is revealed that the Philosophy of Education does not function as a direct tool influencing teaching methods [21], [22], [23] . Instead, it serves a critical role in providing an in-depth understanding of the fundamental challenges in education theory and practice. Acting as a reflective discipline, it systematically examines the foundational principles shaping educational theory, contributing to a nuanced comprehension of the complexities inherent in education.

The discussion emphasizes the mediation role played by pedagogy, recognized as a separate science of education. In the Indonesian context, this dynamic is crucial, as pedagogy acts as the intermediary that translates philosophical insights into practical strategies and practices applicable within the country's unique educational settings. The results highlight the Philosophy of Education's contemplative function, enhancing our understanding of the intricacies of education, while underscoring pedagogy's practical role in bridging the gap between theory and real-world application. This nuanced understanding of the status and purpose of the Philosophy of Education in Indonesia contributes to ongoing discussions about refining and optimizing the country's educational practices.

In the context of education in Indonesia, the Philosophy of Education assumes a distinctive role and purpose. As an applied philosophy, it doesn't serve as a direct tool for shaping teaching methods but plays a critical role in offering a profound understanding of the fundamental challenges within education theory and practice. Operating as a reflective discipline, it systematically investigates the foundational principles that shape educational theory, contributing to a nuanced comprehension of the inherent complexities in education [24], [25].

The discussion underscores the mediating role of pedagogy, recognized as a separate science of education, and highlights its crucial significance in the Indonesian context. Pedagogy serves as the intermediary that translates philosophical insights into practical strategies and practices tailored to the unique educational settings of the country. The results emphasize the contemplative function of the Philosophy of Education, enriching our understanding of the intricacies of education. Simultaneously, it underscores pedagogy's practical role in bridging the gap between theoretical concepts and real-world application. This nuanced understanding of the Philosophy of Education's status and purpose in Indonesia contributes significantly to ongoing discussions aimed at refining and optimizing the country's educational practices.

Philosophy of Education and Educational Practice

The relationship between Philosophy of Education and Educational Practice is intricate, involving deep theoretical contemplation and practical application. Philosophy of Education, as an applied philosophy, does not directly dictate teaching methods; instead, it serves as a contemplative force that delves into the fundamental principles and challenges within the theory and practice of education [26], [27], [28].

The theoretical discussions within Philosophy of Education contribute to a nuanced understanding of educational complexities [29]. For instance, philosophical inquiries might explore the nature of knowledge, the role of educators, or the overarching goals of education. These discussions provide a conceptual framework for shaping educational ideals and principles.

On the practical side, Educational Practice involves the implementation of these philosophical insights in real-world educational settings. For example, if the philosophy emphasizes student-centered learning, Educational Practice would involve designing classrooms and teaching methods that prioritize student engagement and active participation.

One might consider a philosophical concept like the "Socratic method," which emphasizes questioning and dialogue for learning [30]. In the realm of Educational Practice, teachers influenced by this philosophy might structure lessons to encourage student-led discussions, fostering critical thinking skills.

However, the dynamic between Philosophy of Education and Educational Practice is not unidirectional. Educational Practice, with its challenges and successes, also informs and refines philosophical perspectives. The practical application of educational methods generates valuable insights and reflections, contributing to the ongoing evolution of philosophical principles.

In conclusion, Philosophy of Education and Educational Practice engage in a symbiotic relationship. Philosophical contemplation informs the theoretical foundations of education, guiding principles, and ideals. Simultaneously, Educational Practice grounds these philosophical ideas in reality, shaping teaching methods, curriculum design, and the overall educational experience. This dynamic interplay enriches both realms and fosters a continuous cycle of reflection, improvement, and innovation in the field of education.

Philosophy of Education and Pedagogy

The findings regarding the relationship between Philosophy of Education and Pedagogy reveal a complex and interconnected dynamic [31] Philosophy of Education, functioning as an applied philosophy, doesn't serve as a direct tool for shaping teaching methods but rather plays a crucial role in understanding fundamental challenges in education theory and practice. It acts as a reflective discipline, systematically exploring foundational principles that shape educational theory.

In this dynamic, Pedagogy emerges as a mediator, recognized as a distinct science of education [32]. This role is particularly vital in the Indonesian context, where pedagogy serves as an intermediary translating philosophical insights into practical strategies applicable within the country's unique educational settings. Pedagogy plays a pivotal role in bridging the gap between theoretical understanding and real-world application.

The results underscore the contemplative function of Philosophy of Education, enriching our understanding of the intricacies of education. Pedagogy's practical role in translating philosophical insights into applicable strategies is highlighted, emphasizing its significance in adapting educational practices to the local context. This nuanced understanding contributes to ongoing discussions about refining and optimizing educational practices in Indonesia, recognizing the symbiotic relationship between theoretical foundations and practical implementation in education.

The findings highlight a symbiotic relationship between Philosophy of Education and Pedagogy, revealing a nuanced interplay. The Philosophy of Education, operating as applied philosophy, isn't a direct tool for shaping teaching methods but plays a crucial role in understanding key challenges in education theory [33]. It serves as a reflective discipline, delving into foundational principles shaping educational theory.

Pedagogy emerges as a mediator, acknowledged as a distinct science of education.

Particularly significant in the Indonesian context, pedagogy acts as an intermediary, translating philosophical insights into practical strategies for the country's unique educational settings. It bridges the gap between theoretical understanding and real-world application.

Emphasizing the contemplative function of Philosophy of Education, the results deepen our grasp of education intricacies. Pedagogy's practical role in translating philosophical insights into applicable strategies is emphasized, underscoring its importance in adapting educational practices locally [34]. This nuanced understanding contributes to ongoing discussions on refining and optimizing educational practices in Indonesia, recognizing the interdependence of theoretical foundations and practical implementation in education.

IV CONCLUSION

In the realm of teaching practices, the Philosophy of Education in Indonesia operates as an applied philosophy, providing a profound understanding of foundational principles without directly influencing teaching methods. This reflective discipline scrutinizes theoretical aspects, with Pedagogy serving as a mediator that translates insights into practical strategies tailored for unique settings, contributing to the continuous refinement of educational practices.

The relationship between Philosophy of Education and Educational Practice involves both theory and application. Philosophical discussions guide educational principles, and Educational Practice implements these insights, such as in student-centered learning. This dynamic interplay enhances both realms, fostering continuous reflection, improvement, and innovation in education. In Indonesia, the symbiotic relationship between Philosophy of Education and Pedagogy sees philosophy deepening understanding while Pedagogy acts as a mediator, translating insights into practical strategies for the country's unique context. This contributes to ongoing discussions, recognizing the interdependence of theoretical foundations and practical implementation in education.

Bibliography

[1]Barrow, R., & Woods, R. (2015). An Introduction to Philosophy of Education (4th ed.). Routledge.

[2]Blake, N., Smeyers, P., Smith, R., & Standish, P. (Eds.). (2003). The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Education. Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470

[3]Brent, A. (1983). Philosophy and Educational Foundations (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi. org/10.4324/9781315532059

[4]Chazan, B. (2022). Principles and Pedagogies in Jewish Education. Palgrave Macmillan. https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-83925-3_2

[5]Fitsula, M. M. (2002). Pedahohika: Navchalnyi posibnyk dlia studentiv vyschykh pedahohichnykh zakladiv osvity [Pedagogy: A textbook for students of higher pedagogical educational institutions]. Akademiia.

[6]Gerashchenko, I. G., & Kletsova, S. Y. (1996). Filosofiia osvity: typy suchasnykh metodolohii [Philosophy of education: Types of modern methodologies]. Problemy osvity, 6, 24-27. Journal of Education Culture and Society No. 2_2023 29

[7]Gingell, J., & Winch, Ch. (1999). Philosophy of Education: The Key Concepts. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203026076

[8]Grigoriev, S. L. (1995). Filosofskiy analiz protsessa obucheniya kak sposoba osvoeniya kultur [Philosophical analysis of the learning process as a way of mastering cultures] [Abstract of PhD thesis, Russian Institute of Culturology]. Russian National Library. https://cheloveknauka. com/filosofskiy-analiz-protsessa-obucheniya-kak-sposoba-osvoeniya-kultury

[9]Hirst, P. H., & Peters, R. S. (1998). Education and philosophy. In P. H. Hirst & P. White (Eds.), Philosophy of Education: Major Themes in the Analytic Tradition (pp. 27-38). Routledge.

[10]Hogan, P., & Smith, R. (2003). The activity of philosophy and the practice of education. In N. Blake, P. Smeyers, R. Smith, & P. Standish (Eds.), The Blackwell Guide to the Philosophy of Education (pp. 163-180). Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470996294

[11]Klepko, S. F. (1998). Integratyvna osvita i polymorfizm znannya [Integrative education and polymorphism of knowledge]. POIPPO.

[12]Klimova, G. P. (1996). Osvita i tsyvilizatsiya [Education and civilization]. Pravo.

[13]Korzhenko, V. V. (1998). Filosofiia vykhovannia: zmina oriientatsii [Philosophy of education: Change of orientations]. UADU.

[14]Kultaieva, M. D. (1991). Sovremennye nemetskie filosofskie kontseptsii vospitaniya: sushchnost' I evolyutsiya [Modern German philosophical concepts of education: The essence and evolution] [Unpublished abstract of PhD thesis]. Kyiv Institute of Philosophy of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

[15]Lutai, V. S. (1996). Filosofiia suchasnoi osvity [Philosophy of modern education]. Tsentr "Magistr-S" Tvorchoyi spilky vchiteliv Ukrayiny.

[16]Nichkalo, N. G. (1994). Filosofiia suchasnoi osvity [Philosophy of modern education]. Pedahohika i psykholohiya, 4, 49-57.

[17]Noddings, N. (2003). Philosophy of Education. Routledge.

[18]Peters, M. A., & Ghiraldelli Jr., P. (Eds.). (2001). Richard Rorty: Education, Philosophy, and Politics. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

[19]Peters, M. A., Tesar, M., & Locke, K. (2014). Philosophy of Education. Oxford University Press.

[20]Phillips, D. C. (Ed.). (2014). Encyclopedia of Educational Theory and Philosophy. SAGE Publications.

[21]Rostovtsev, A. N. (1992). Soderzhaniye obshchego obrazovaniya kak filosofsko-metodologicheskaya problema [Content of general education as a philosophical and methodological problem] [Unpublished abstract of PhD thesis]. Yekaterinburg University.

[22]Siegel, H. (n.d.). Philosophy of Education. Encyclopaedia Britannica. https://www.britannica. com/topic/philosophy-of-education

[23]Siegel, H., Phillips, D. C., & Callan, E. (2018). Philosophy of Education. Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Archive. https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2018/entries/ education-philosophy/

[24]Vinten, R. (2020). Wittgenstein and the social sciences: Action, ideology and justice. Anthem Press.

[25] Volkova, N. P. (2007). Pedahohika: Navch. posib [Pedagogy: Study guide]. Akademvydav.

[26] White, J. (2012). The role of Policy in Philosophy of Education: An argument and an illustration. Journal of Philosophy of Education, 46(4), 503-515.

[27]Zembylas, M. (2020). On the Unrepresentability of Affect in Lyotard's Work: Towards Pedagogies of Ineffability. Educational Philosophy and Theory. Vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 180-191, doi: https:// doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2019.1619172

[28]Lewis, T.E. (2013). On Study: Giorgio Agamben and Educational Potentiality. New York : Routledge, 174 p.

[29]Bingham, Ch., Biesta, G. (2010). Jacques Rancière: Education, Truth, Emancipation. London; New York : Continuum, 170 p.

[30]Semetsky, I. (Ed.) (2013). Deleuze and Education. Edinburg : Edinburgh University Press, 288 p.

[31]Raaen, F.D. (2011). Autonomy, Candour and Professional Teacher Practice: A Discussion Inspired by the Later Works of Michel Foucault. Journal of Philosophy of Education. Vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 627-641, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9752.2011.00824.x

[32]Peters, M.A., Besley, T. (Eds.) (2007). Why Foucault? New Directions in Educational Research. New York : Peter Lang, 243 p.

[33]Schwimmer, M. (2017). Poststructuralisme et éducation: l'apport de Foucault et Derrida. Philosophical Inquiry in Education. Vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 159-170, doi: https://doi.org/10.7202/1070603ar

[34]Vlasova, O.A. (2019). The Status of the History of Philosophy in the Humanitarian Field and the University System: The Perspective of Self-Consciousness. Science for Education Today. Vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 93-105, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.15293/2658-6762.1904.06 (In Russ., abstract in Eng.